

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

SEP 13 2005.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICEApplicant: **Clifford L. Smith**Serial No.: **10/798,768**Group No.: **1762**Filed: **3/11/2004**Examiner: **TUROCY, David P.**For: **COMPOSITE TOOL COATING SYSTEM****AFFIDAVIT**

I, Craig E. Bohn, attest that:

That I am a citizen of the United States of America and I am a registered member of the United States Patent Bar No. 52,629.

That I filed a response to the Office Action mailed on February 25, 2005, which response, submitted on July 25, 2005, had an error that caused Examiner Turocy to not review the response, but instead issue an Advisory Action. That I talked to Examiner Turocy by telephone on or around August 13, 2005, at which point he notified me of the error and Advisory Action. That I offered to immediately fax a correction, but that Examiner Turocy urged me to wait for the Advisory Action, and instructed that he had provided additional time for me to reply. That I waited, believing Examiner Turocy had withdrawn the application from final, for the for the Advisory Action, which arrived on Saturday, August 26, 2005, one (1) day after the statutory period to reply to a final office action. Though Examiner Turocy noted sending the Advisory Action on August 13, 2005, the envelope was postmarked on August 23, 2005. A duplicate Advisory Action arrived on Monday, August 28, 2005, which was postmarked August 24, 2005.

That I recently received the Notice of Abandonment and had subsequently prepared this Petition For Revival of an Application for Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 C.F.R.

Affidavit of Unintentional Abandonment

10/798,768

1.137(b), as well as an appropriate response that now requires a Request for Continued Examination (RCE).

That the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.137(b) was unintentional.

That I, the undersigned, declares further that all statements made herein of his own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United State Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon;

Further declarant saith not.

Date: 13 Sept. 2005

signed: 